


**Federated**<sup>®</sup>



# ALABAMA MUNICIPAL CASH TRUST

---

(Effective June 30, 2010 – Federated Alabama Municipal Cash Trust)

**A Portfolio of Money Market Obligations Trust**

## **SEMI-ANNUAL SHAREHOLDER REPORT**

April 30, 2010

**FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS**

**SHAREHOLDER EXPENSE EXAMPLE**

**PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS SUMMARY TABLES**

**PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS**

**STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES**

**STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS**

**STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS**

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**EVALUATION AND APPROVAL OF ADVISORY CONTRACT**

**VOTING PROXIES ON FUND PORTFOLIO SECURITIES**

**QUARTERLY PORTFOLIO SCHEDULE**

**Not FDIC Insured ■ May Lose Value ■ No Bank Guarantee**

# FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

(For a Share Outstanding Throughout Each Period)

	Six Months Ended (unaudited) 4/30/2010	Year Ended October 31,				
		2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
<b>Net Asset Value, Beginning of Period</b>	<b>\$1.00</b>	<b>\$1.00</b>	<b>\$1.00</b>	<b>\$1.00</b>	<b>\$1.00</b>	<b>\$1.00</b>
<b>Income From Investment Operations:</b>						
Net investment income	0.000 <sup>1</sup>	0.005	0.023	0.032	0.028	0.017
Net realized gain (loss) on investments	0.000 <sup>1</sup>	0.000 <sup>1</sup>	0.000 <sup>1</sup>	0.000 <sup>1</sup>	0.000 <sup>1</sup>	(0.000) <sup>1</sup>
TOTAL FROM INVESTMENT OPERATIONS	0.000 <sup>1</sup>	0.005	0.023	0.032	0.028	0.017
<b>Less Distributions:</b>						
Distributions from net investment income	(0.000) <sup>1</sup>	(0.005)	(0.023)	(0.032)	(0.028)	(0.017)
Distributions from net realized gain on investments	(0.000) <sup>1</sup>	(0.000) <sup>1</sup>	(0.000) <sup>1</sup>	—	—	—
TOTAL DISTRIBUTIONS	(0.000) <sup>1</sup>	(0.005)	(0.023)	(0.032)	(0.028)	(0.017)
<b>Net Asset Value, End of Period</b>	<b>\$1.00</b>	<b>\$1.00</b>	<b>\$1.00</b>	<b>\$1.00</b>	<b>\$1.00</b>	<b>\$1.00</b>
Total Return <sup>2</sup>	0.01%	0.52%	2.38%	3.22%	2.88%	1.74%
<b>Ratios to Average Net Assets:</b>						
Net expenses	0.52% <sup>3</sup>	0.60% <sup>4</sup>	0.56% <sup>4</sup>	0.55%	0.55%	0.55%
Net investment income	0.01% <sup>3</sup>	0.50%	2.34%	3.18%	2.84%	1.70%
Expense waiver/reimbursement <sup>5</sup>	0.30% <sup>3</sup>	0.26%	0.26%	0.29%	0.35%	0.35%
<b>Supplemental Data:</b>						
Net assets, end of period (000 omitted)	\$153,804	\$269,519	\$265,803	\$306,204	\$268,432	\$266,017

1 Represents less than \$0.001.

2 Based on net asset value. Total returns for periods of less than one year are not annualized.

3 Computed on an annualized basis.

4 The net expense ratio is calculated without reduction for expense offset arrangements. The net expense ratios are 0.60% and 0.56% for the years ended October 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, after taking into account these expense reductions.

5 This expense decrease is reflected in both the net expense and the net investment income ratios shown above.

See Notes which are an integral part of the Financial Statements

## SHAREHOLDER EXPENSE EXAMPLE (UNAUDITED)

As a shareholder of the Fund, you incur ongoing costs, including management fees and to the extent applicable, distribution (12b-1) fees and/or shareholder services fees and other Fund expenses. This Example is intended to help you to understand your ongoing costs (in dollars) of investing in the Fund and to compare these costs with the ongoing costs of investing in other mutual funds. It is based on an investment of \$1,000 invested at the beginning of the period and held for the entire period from November 1, 2009 to April 30, 2010.

### **ACTUAL EXPENSES**

The first section of the table below provides information about actual account values and actual expenses. You may use the information in this section, together with the amount you invested, to *estimate* the expenses that you incurred over the period. Simply divide your account value by \$1,000 (for example, an \$8,600 account value divided by \$1,000 = 8.6), then multiply the result by the number in the first section under the heading entitled “Expenses Paid During Period” to estimate the expenses attributable to your investment during this period.

### **HYPOTHETICAL EXAMPLE FOR COMPARISON PURPOSES**

The second section of the table below provides information about hypothetical account values and hypothetical expenses based on the Fund’s actual expense ratio and an *assumed* rate of return of 5% per year before expenses, which is not the Fund’s actual return. Thus, you should *not* use the hypothetical account values and expenses to estimate the actual ending account balance or your expenses for the period. Rather, these figures are required to be provided to enable you to compare the ongoing costs of investing in the Fund with other funds. To do so, compare this 5% hypothetical example with the 5% hypothetical examples that appear in the shareholder reports of the other funds.

Please note that the expenses shown in the table are meant to highlight your ongoing costs only. Therefore, the second section of the table is useful in comparing ongoing costs only, and will not help you determine the relative *total* costs of owning different funds.

	Beginning Account Value 11/1/2009	Ending Account Value 4/30/2010	Expenses Paid During Period <sup>1,2</sup>
<b>Actual</b>	\$1,000	\$1,000.10	\$2.58
<b>Hypothetical (assuming a 5% return before expenses)</b>	\$1,000	\$1,022.22	\$2.61

- 1 *Expenses are equal to the Fund's annualized net expense ratio of 0.52%, multiplied by the average account value over the period, multiplied by 181/365 (to reflect the one-half-year period).*
- 2 *Actual and Hypothetical expenses paid during the period, utilizing the Fund's current annualized net expense ratio of 0.57% (as reflected in the Notes to Financial Statements, Note 4 under Expense Limitation), multiplied by the average account value over the period, multiplied by 181/365 (to reflect current expenses, as if they had been in effect throughout the most recent one-half-year period) would be \$2.83 and \$2.86, respectively.*

# PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS SUMMARY TABLES (UNAUDITED)

At April 30, 2010, the Fund's portfolio composition<sup>1</sup> was as follows:

	<b>Percentage of Total Net Assets</b>
Variable Rate Demand Instruments	84.8%
Municipal Notes	15.1%
Other Assets and Liabilities—Net <sup>2</sup>	0.1%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

At April 30, 2010, the Fund's effective maturity schedule<sup>3</sup> was as follows:

<b>Securities with an Effective Maturity of:</b>	<b>Percentage of Total Net Assets</b>
1-7 Days	85.2%
8-30 Days	0.0%
31-90 Days	11.9%
91-180 Days	0.0%
181 Days or more	2.8%
Other Assets and Liabilities—Net <sup>2</sup>	0.1%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

- 1 See the Fund's Prospectus and Statement of Additional Information for a description of these investments.
- 2 Assets, other than investments in securities, less liabilities. See Statement of Assets and Liabilities.
- 3 Effective maturity is determined in accordance with the requirements of Rule 2a-7 under the Investment Company Act of 1940, which regulates money market mutual funds.

# PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

April 30, 2010 (unaudited)

Principal Amount		Value
	SHORT-TERM MUNICIPALS—99.9% <sup>1,2</sup>	
	<b>Alabama—99.9%</b>	
\$ 3,215,000	Alabama HFA MFH, (2000 Series C: Parktowne Apartments) Weekly VRDNs (Park Towne Villas Ltd.)/(Branch Banking & Trust Co. LOC), 0.500%, 5/6/2010	\$ 3,215,000
6,080,000	Alabama HFA MFH, (2007 Series C) Weekly VRDNs (Summit South Mall Apartments Ltd.)/(FNMA LOC), 0.400%, 5/6/2010	6,080,000
3,400,000	Alabama HFA MFH, (Series 2002C) Weekly VRDNs (Liberty Square Apartments, Ltd.)/(Wachovia Bank N.A. LOC), 0.430%, 5/6/2010	3,400,000
5,670,000	<sup>3,4</sup> Alabama HFA Single Family, ROCs (Series 11643) Weekly VRDNs (GNMA COL)/(Citibank NA, New York LIQ), 0.370%, 5/6/2010	5,670,000
660,000	Alexander, AL IDB Weekly VRDNs (Wellborn Forest Products, Inc.)/(Compass Bank, Birmingham LOC), 0.980%, 5/6/2010	660,000
1,585,000	Alexander, AL IDB Weekly VRDNs (WFP Holding, Inc.)/(Compass Bank, Birmingham LOC), 0.580%, 5/6/2010	1,585,000
150,000	Ashland, AL IDB, (Series 1996) Weekly VRDNs (Tru-Wood Cabinets)/(Regions Bank, Alabama LOC), 1.100%, 5/6/2010	150,000
2,880,000	Auburn, AL IDB, (Series 1999) Weekly VRDNs (Donaldson Co., Inc.)/(Bank of America N.A. LOC), 0.400%, 5/6/2010	2,880,000
620,000	Auburn, AL, (Series 2009), 2.00% Bonds, 5/1/2010	620,000
2,065,000	Autauga County, AL IDA, (Series 2008) Weekly VRDNs (Marshall Prattville, LLC)/(Wachovia Bank N.A. LOC), 0.430%, 5/6/2010	2,065,000
1,090,000	Baldwin County, AL, (Series 2010), 1.00% Bonds, 1/1/2011	1,093,049
1,200,000	Bessemer, AL IDB, (Series 2002) Weekly VRDNs (Hardwick Co., Inc.)/(Compass Bank, Birmingham LOC), 1.000%, 5/6/2010	1,200,000
1,350,000	Birmingham, AL IDA, (Series 1997) Weekly VRDNs (J. J. & W, IV, Ltd.)/(Svenska Handelsbanken, Stockholm LOC), 0.610%, 5/6/2010	1,350,000
1,026,500	Birmingham, AL IDA, IDRBs (Series 1999) Weekly VRDNs (Glasforms, Inc.)/(Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. LOC), 0.350%, 5/6/2010	1,026,500
14,000,000	Birmingham, AL Medical Clinic Board, (Series 1991) Weekly VRDNs (University of Alabama Health System)/(SunTrust Bank LOC), 0.550%, 5/5/2010	14,000,000
1,000,000	Birmingham, AL, GO Refunding Warrants (Series 2006-A), 4.00% Bonds, 4/1/2011	1,031,453
7,400,000	Chambers County, AL IDA, (Series 2007) Weekly VRDNs (Daeki America, Inc.)/(Comerica Bank LOC), 0.500%, 5/6/2010	7,400,000
10,435,000	Chelsea Park, AL Cooperative District, (Series 2005) Weekly VRDNs (Compass Bank, Birmingham LOC), 0.560%, 5/6/2010	10,435,000
6,000,000	Decatur, AL IDB, (Series 1998) Weekly VRDNs (Neo Industries, Inc.)/(Harris, N.A. LOC), 0.620%, 5/6/2010	6,000,000
6,395,000	Decatur, AL IDB, (Series 2003-A) Weekly VRDNs (Nucor Steel Decatur LLC)/(GTD by Nucor Corp.), 0.370%, 5/5/2010	6,395,000

Principal Amount		Value
	SHORT-TERM MUNICIPALS—continued <sup>1,2</sup>	
	<b>Alabama—continued</b>	
\$2,290,000	Decatur, AL, (Series 2009B), 1.50% Bonds, 6/1/2010	\$2,290,866
3,725,000	East Central, AL Solid Waste Disposal Authority, (Series 2003: Three Corners) Weekly VRDNs (Waste Management, Inc.)/(Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. LOC), 0.420%, 5/6/2010	3,725,000
2,425,000	Frisco, AL Industrial Development Board, (Series 2005) Weekly VRDNs (Standard Furniture Manufacturing Co., Inc.)/(RBC Bank (USA) LOC), 0.450%, 5/6/2010	2,425,000
3,750,000	Gadsden, AL IDB, (Series 2000) Weekly VRDNs (Super Steel South LLC)/(Bank of America N.A. LOC), 0.470%, 5/5/2010	3,750,000
1,000,000	Huntsville, AL IDB Weekly VRDNs (Giles & Kendall, Inc.)/(Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. LOC), 2.020%, 5/7/2010	1,000,000
1,200,000	Huntsville, AL, GO School Refunding Warrants (Series 2005-B), 5.50% Bonds (Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. INS), 2/1/2011	1,244,957
5,910,000	Millport, AL IDA, (Series 2007) Weekly VRDNs (Steel Dust Recycling, LLC)/(Comerica Bank LOC), 0.350%, 5/6/2010	5,910,000
7,000,000	Mobile, AL IDB, (First Series 2009: Barry Plant), 1.40% TOBs (Alabama Power Co.), Mandatory Tender 7/16/2010	7,000,000
9,000,000	Mobile, AL IDB, PCR (Series 2008: Gulf Opportunity Zone Bond), 1.40% TOBs (Alabama Power Co.), Mandatory Tender 7/16/2010	9,000,000
4,175,000	Mobile, AL Solid Waste Authority, (Series 2003: Chastang) Weekly VRDNs (Waste Management, Inc.)/(Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. LOC), 0.420%, 5/6/2010	4,175,000
1,200,000	Mobile, AL Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Series 2010-B) Weekly VRDNs (Infirmary Health Systems, Inc.)/(Deutsche Bank AG LOC), 0.280%, 5/5/2010	1,200,000
6,000,000	Montgomery, AL IDB, IDRBs (Series 1996) Weekly VRDNs (CSC Fabrication, Inc.)/(JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. LOC), 0.400%, 5/6/2010	6,000,000
4,350,000	North Sumter, AL Solid Waste Disposal Authority, (Series 2003: Emelle) Weekly VRDNs (Waste Management, Inc.)/(Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. LOC), 0.420%, 5/6/2010	4,350,000
6,500,000	Ridge Improvement District (Elmore County), AL, (Series 2000) Weekly VRDNs (Regions Bank, Alabama LOC), 1.000%, 5/6/2010	6,500,000
855,000	Saraland, AL, GO Warrants, 1.00% Bonds, 1/1/2011	857,610
795,000	Shelby County, AL EDA Weekly VRDNs (Saginaw Pipe of Illinois, Inc.)/(Regions Bank, Alabama LOC), 1.280%, 5/5/2010	795,000
7,000,000	Southeast Alabama Gas District, (Series 2007A) Daily VRDNs (Southeast Alabama Gas Acquisition LLC)/(Societe Generale, Paris LIQ), 0.250%, 5/3/2010	7,000,000
1,040,000	Tallasse, AL IDB, (Series 1998) Weekly VRDNs (Milstead Farm Group, Inc.)/(FHLB of Atlanta LOC), 0.570%, 5/6/2010	1,040,000
6,600,000	Tuscaloosa County, AL IDA, (1995 Series A) Weekly VRDNs (Nucor Steel Tuscaloosa, Inc.)/(GTD by Nucor Corp.), 0.370%, 5/5/2010	6,600,000

Principal Amount		Value
	SHORT-TERM MUNICIPALS—continued <sup>1,2</sup>	
	<b>Alabama—continued</b>	
\$ 75,000	Tuscaloosa County, AL Port Authority, (Series 2007: Gulf Opportunity Zone Bonds) Weekly VRDNs (Tuscaloosa Riverfront Development, LLC)/(FHLB of Atlanta LOC), 0.410%, 5/6/2010	\$ 75,000
2,000,000	<sup>3,4</sup> University of South Alabama, Solar Eclipse (Series 2007-0023) Weekly VRDNs (U.S. Bank, N.A. LIQ)/(U.S. Bank, N.A. LOC), 0.310%, 5/6/2010	2,000,000
410,000	Webb, AL IDB, (Series 2001) Weekly VRDNs (Qualico Steel Co., Inc.)/(Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. LOC), 0.520%, 5/7/2010	410,000
	TOTAL MUNICIPAL INVESTMENTS—99.9% (AT AMORTIZED COST) <sup>5</sup>	153,604,435
	OTHER ASSETS AND LIABILITIES - NET—0.1% <sup>6</sup>	199,291
	TOTAL NET ASSETS—100%	\$153,803,726

Securities that are subject to the federal alternative minimum tax (AMT) represent 58.1% of the portfolio as calculated based upon total market value.

- 1 The Fund may only invest in securities rated in one of the two highest short-term rating categories by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations (NRSROs) or unrated securities of comparable quality. An NRSRO's two highest rating categories are determined without regard for sub-categories and gradations. For example, securities rated SP-1+, SP-1 or SP-2 by Standard & Poor's, MIG-1 or MIG-2 by Moody's Investors Service or F-1+, F-1 or F-2 by Fitch Ratings, are all considered rated in one of the two highest short-term rating categories. Securities rated in the highest short-term rating category (and unrated securities of comparable quality) are identified as First Tier securities. Securities rated in the second highest short-term rating category (and unrated securities of comparable quality) are identified as Second Tier securities. The Fund follows applicable regulations in determining whether a security is rated and whether a security rated by multiple NRSROs in different rating categories should be identified as a First or Second Tier security.

At April 30, 2010, the portfolio securities were rated as follows:

Tier Rating Percentages Based on Total Market Value

First Tier	Second Tier
95.2%	4.8%

- 2 Current rate and next reset date shown for Variable Rate Demand Notes.
- 3 Denotes a restricted security that either: (a) cannot be offered for public sale without first being registered, or being able to take advantage of an exemption from registration, under the Securities Act of 1933; or (b) is subject to a contractual restriction on public sales. At April 30, 2010, these restricted securities amounted to \$7,670,000, which represented 5.0% of total net assets.
- 4 Denotes a restricted security that may be resold without restriction to "qualified institutional buyers" as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933 and that the Fund has determined to be liquid under criteria established by the Fund's Board of Trustees (the "Trustees"). At April 30, 2010, these liquid restricted securities amounted to \$7,670,000, which represented 5.0% of total net assets.
- 5 Also represents cost for federal tax purposes.
- 6 Assets, other than investments in securities, less liabilities. See Statement of Assets and Liabilities.

Note: The categories of investments are shown as a percentage of total net assets at April 30, 2010.

Various inputs are used in determining the value of the Fund's investments. These inputs are summarized in the three broad levels listed below:

Level 1—quoted prices in active markets for identical securities

Level 2—other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3—significant unobservable inputs (including the Fund's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

As of April 30, 2010, all investments of the Fund utilized Level 2 inputs in valuing the Fund's assets carried at fair value.

The following acronyms are used throughout this portfolio:

COL —Collateralized  
EDA —Economic Development Authority  
FHLB —Federal Home Loan Bank  
FNMA —Federal National Mortgage Association  
GNMA —Government National Mortgage Association  
GO —General Obligation  
GTD —Guaranteed  
HFA —Housing Finance Authority  
IDA —Industrial Development Authority  
IDB —Industrial Development Bond  
IDRBs —Industrial Development Revenue Bonds  
INS —Insured  
LIQ —Liquidity Agreement  
LOC —Letter of Credit  
MFH —Multi-Family Housing  
PCR —Pollution Control Revenue  
ROCs —Reset Option Certificates  
TOBs —Tender Option Bonds  
VRDNs—Variable Rate Demand Notes

See Notes which are an integral part of the Financial Statements

# STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

April 30, 2010 (unaudited)

## Assets:

Total investments in securities, at amortized cost and value	\$153,604,435
Cash	92,819
Income receivable	170,458
Receivable for shares sold	48,536
Prepaid expenses	2,290
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>153,918,538</b>

## Liabilities:

Payable for shares redeemed	\$52,035
Income distribution payable	839
Payable for Directors'/Trustees' fees	145
Payable for portfolio accounting fees	9,069
Payable for shareholder services fee (Note 4)	32,473
Payable for share registration costs	20,251
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>114,812</b>

Net assets for 153,803,108 shares outstanding \$153,803,726

## Net Assets Consist of:

Paid-in capital	\$153,803,108
Accumulated net realized gain on investments	2,886
Distributions in excess of net investment income	(2,268)
<b>TOTAL NET ASSETS</b>	<b>\$153,803,726</b>

## Net Asset Value, Offering Price and Redemption Proceeds Per Share:

\$153,803,726 ÷ 153,803,108 shares outstanding, no par value, unlimited shares authorized \$1.00

See Notes which are an integral part of the Financial Statements

# STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

Six Months Ended April 30, 2010 (unaudited)

## Investment Income:

Interest	\$612,460
----------	-----------

## Expenses:

Investment adviser fee (Note 4)	\$ 464,728
Administrative personnel and services fee (Note 4)	90,405
Custodian fees	4,040
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees and expenses	30,385
Directors'/Trustees' fees	681
Auditing fees	9,184
Legal fees	3,783
Portfolio accounting fees	31,830
Shareholder services fee (Note 4)	275,400
Account administration fee	14,150
Share registration costs	15,914
Printing and postage	6,933
Insurance premiums	2,308
Miscellaneous	526
<b>TOTAL EXPENSES</b>	<b>950,267</b>

## Waivers and Reimbursement (Note 4):

Waiver of investment adviser fee	\$(280,404)
Waiver of administrative personnel and services fee	(1,991)
Waiver of shareholder services fee	(45,111)
Reimbursement of shareholder services fee	(20,590)
<b>TOTAL WAIVERS AND REIMBURSEMENT</b>	<b>(348,096)</b>

Net expenses	602,171
Net investment income	10,289
Net realized gain on investments	3,057
Change in net assets resulting from operations	\$ 13,346

See Notes which are an integral part of the Financial Statements

# STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

	<b>Six Months Ended (unaudited) 4/30/2010</b>	<b>Year Ended 10/31/2009</b>
<b>Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets</b>		
<b>Operations:</b>		
Net investment income	\$ 10,289	\$ 1,210,134
Net realized gain on investments	3,057	11,370
<b>CHANGE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS</b>	<b>13,346</b>	<b>1,221,504</b>
<b>Distributions to Shareholders:</b>		
Distributions from net investment income	(12,550)	(1,201,546)
Distributions from net realized gain on investments	(10,672)	(97,824)
<b>CHANGE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS</b>	<b>(23,222)</b>	<b>(1,299,370)</b>
<b>Share Transactions:</b>		
Proceeds from sale of shares	152,938,955	280,566,550
Net asset value of shares issued to shareholders in payment of distributions declared	17,219	910,487
Cost of shares redeemed	(268,661,705)	(277,682,586)
<b>CHANGE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM SHARE TRANSACTIONS</b>	<b>(115,705,531)</b>	<b>3,794,451</b>
Change in net assets	(115,715,407)	3,716,585
<b>Net Assets:</b>		
Beginning of period	269,519,133	265,802,548
End of period (including distributions in excess of net investment income of \$(2,268) and \$(7), respectively)	\$ 153,803,726	\$ 269,519,133

See Notes which are an integral part of the Financial Statements

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

April 30, 2010 (unaudited)

## **1. ORGANIZATION**

Money Market Obligations Trust (the "Trust") is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "Act"), as an open-end management investment company. The Trust consists of 38 portfolios. The financial statements included herein are only those of Alabama Municipal Cash Trust (the "Fund"), a diversified portfolio. The financial statements of the other portfolios are presented separately. The assets of each portfolio are segregated and a shareholder's interest is limited to the portfolio in which shares are held. Each portfolio pays its own expenses. The investment objective of the Fund is to provide current income exempt from federal regular income tax and the income tax imposed by the state of Alabama consistent with stability of principal. Interest income from the Fund's investments may be subject to the federal AMT for individuals and corporations.

## **2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies consistently followed by the Fund in the preparation of its financial statements. These policies are in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

### **Investment Valuation**

Securities are valued at amortized cost. Under the amortized cost valuation method, an investment is valued initially at its cost as determined in accordance with GAAP. The Fund then adjusts the amount of interest income accrued each day over the term of the investment to account for any difference between the initial cost of the investment and the amount payable at its maturity. If amortized cost is determined not to approximate market value, the fair value of the portfolio securities will be determined under procedures established by and under the general supervision of the Trustees.

### **Investment Income, Expenses and Distributions**

Investment transactions are accounted for on a trade-date basis. Realized gains and losses from investment transactions are recorded on an identified-cost basis. Interest income and expenses are accrued daily. Distributions to shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Distributions of net investment income are declared daily and paid monthly.

### **Premium and Discount Amortization**

All premiums and discounts are amortized/accreted.

### **Federal Taxes**

It is the Fund's policy to comply with the Subchapter M provision of the Internal Revenue Code and to distribute to shareholders each year substantially all of its income. Accordingly, no provision for federal income tax is necessary. As of and during the six months ended April 30, 2010, the Fund did not have a liability for any uncertain tax positions. The Fund recognizes interest and penalties, if any, related to tax liabilities as income tax expense in the Statement of Operations. As of April 30, 2010, tax years 2006 through 2009 remain subject to examination by the Fund's major tax jurisdictions, which include the United States of America and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

## When-Issued and Delayed Delivery Transactions

The Fund may engage in when-issued or delayed delivery transactions. The Fund records when-issued securities on the trade date and maintains security positions such that sufficient liquid assets will be available to make payment for the securities purchased. Securities purchased on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis are marked to market daily and begin earning interest on the settlement date. Losses may occur on these transactions due to changes in market conditions or the failure of counterparties to perform under the contract.

## Restricted Securities

The Fund may purchase securities which are considered restricted. Restricted securities are securities that either: (a) cannot be offered for public sale without first being registered, or being able to take advantage of an exemption from registration, under the Securities Act of 1933; or (b) are subject to contractual restrictions on public sales. In some cases, when a security cannot be offered for public sale without first being registered, the issuer of the restricted security has agreed to register such securities for resale, at the issuer's expense, either upon demand by the Fund or in connection with another registered offering of the securities. Many such restricted securities may be resold in the secondary market in transactions exempt from registration. Restricted securities may be determined to be liquid under criteria established by the Trustees. The Fund will not incur any registration costs upon such resales. Restricted securities are valued at amortized cost in accordance with Rule 2a-7 under the Act.

## Other

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts of assets, liabilities, expenses and revenues reported in the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimated.

## 3. SHARES OF BENEFICIAL INTEREST

The following table summarizes share activity:

	Six Months Ended 4/30/2010	Year Ended 10/31/2009
Shares sold	152,938,955	280,566,550
Shares issued to shareholders in payment of distributions declared	17,219	910,487
Shares redeemed	(268,661,705)	(277,682,586)
NET CHANGE RESULTING FROM FUND SHARE TRANSACTIONS	(115,705,531)	3,794,451

## 4. INVESTMENT ADVISER FEE AND OTHER TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES

### Investment Adviser Fee

Federated Investment Management Company is the Fund's investment adviser (the "Adviser"). The advisory agreement between the Fund and the Adviser provides for an annual fee equal to 0.40% of the Fund's average daily net assets. Subject to the terms described in the Expense Limitation note, the Adviser may voluntarily choose to waive any portion of its fee. For the six months ended April 30, 2010, the Adviser voluntarily waived \$280,404 of its fee.

## Administrative Fee

Federated Administrative Services (FAS), under the Administrative Services Agreement, provides the Fund with administrative personnel and services. The fee paid to FAS is based on the average aggregate daily net assets of certain Federated funds as specified below:

<b>Administrative Fee</b>	<b>Average Aggregate Daily Net Assets of the Federated Funds</b>
0.150%	on the first \$5 billion
0.125%	on the next \$5 billion
0.100%	on the next \$10 billion
0.075%	on assets in excess of \$20 billion

The administrative fee received during any fiscal year shall be at least \$150,000 per portfolio and \$40,000 per each additional class of Shares. Subject to the terms described in the Expense Limitation note, FAS may voluntarily choose to waive any portion of its fee. For the six months ended April 30, 2010, the net fee paid to FAS was 0.076% of average daily net assets of the Fund. FAS waived \$1,991 of its fee.

## Shareholder Services Fee

The Fund may pay fees ("Service Fees") up to 0.25% of the average daily net assets of the Fund to financial intermediaries or to Federated Shareholder Services Company (FSSC) for providing services to shareholders and maintaining shareholder accounts. Subject to the terms described in the Expense Limitation note, FSSC may voluntarily reimburse the Fund for Service Fees. For the six months ended April 30, 2010, FSSC voluntarily reimbursed \$20,590 of Service Fees. For the six months ended April 30, 2010, FSSC did not receive any fees paid by the Fund. In addition, for the six months ended April 30, 2010, unaffiliated third-party financial intermediaries waived \$45,111 of Service Fees. This waiver can be modified or terminated at any time.

## Expense Limitation

Due to the possibility of changes in market conditions and other factors, there can be no assurance that the level of waiver/reimbursement/reduction of Fund Expenses reflected in the financial highlights will be maintained in the future. However, the Adviser and its affiliates (which may include FAS and FSSC) have voluntarily agreed to waive their fees and/or reimburse expenses so that the total annual fund operating expenses (as shown in the financial highlights) paid by the Fund (after the voluntary waivers and reimbursements) will not exceed 0.57% (the "Fee Limit") through the later of (the "Termination Date"):

(a) December 31, 2010; or (b) the date of the Fund's next effective Prospectus. While the Adviser and its affiliates currently do not anticipate terminating or increasing this arrangement prior to the Termination Date, this arrangement may only be terminated or the Fee Limit increased prior to the Termination Date with the agreement of the Trustees.

## Interfund Transactions

During the six months ended April 30, 2010, the Fund engaged in purchase and sale transactions with funds that have a common investment adviser (or affiliated investment advisers), common Directors/Trustees and/or common Officers. These purchase and sale transactions complied with Rule 17a-7 under the Act and amounted to \$152,315,000 and \$252,005,000, respectively.

## **General**

Certain Officers and Trustees of the Fund are Officers and Directors or Trustees of the above companies.

## **5. CONCENTRATION OF RISK**

Since the Fund invests a substantial portion of its assets in issuers located in one state, it will be more susceptible to factors adversely affecting issuers of that state than would be a comparable tax-exempt mutual fund that invests nationally. In order to reduce the credit risk associated with such factors, at April 30, 2010, 69.0% of the securities in the portfolio of investments were backed by letters of credit or bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The largest percentage of investments insured by or supported (backed) by a letter of credit from any one institution or agency was 9.6% of total investments.

## **6. LINE OF CREDIT**

The Fund participates in a \$100,000,000 unsecured, uncommitted revolving line of credit (LOC) agreement with PNC Bank. The LOC was made available for extraordinary or emergency purposes, primarily for financing redemption payments. Borrowings are charged interest at a rate offered to the Fund by PNC Bank at the time of the borrowing. As of April 30, 2010, there were no outstanding loans. During the six months ended April 30, 2010, the Fund did not utilize the LOC.

## **7. INTERFUND LENDING**

Pursuant to an Exemptive Order issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Fund, along with other funds advised by subsidiaries of Federated Investors, Inc., may participate in an interfund lending program. This program provides an alternative credit facility allowing the Fund to borrow from other participating affiliated funds. As of April 30, 2010, there were no outstanding loans. During the six months ended April 30, 2010, the program was not utilized.

## **8. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

Since October 2003, Federated Investors, Inc. and related entities (collectively, "Federated"), and various Federated sponsored mutual funds ("Federated Funds") have been named as defendants in several class action lawsuits now pending in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland. The lawsuits were purportedly filed on behalf of people who purchased, owned and/or redeemed shares of certain Federated Funds during specified periods beginning November 1, 1998. The suits are generally similar in alleging that Federated engaged in illegal and improper trading practices including market timing and late trading in concert with certain institutional traders, which allegedly caused financial injury to the mutual fund shareholders. Federated without admitting the validity of any claim has reached a preliminary settlement with the Plaintiffs in these cases. Any settlement would have to be approved by the Court. Federated entities have also been named as defendants in several additional lawsuits that are now pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. These lawsuits have been consolidated into a single action alleging excessive advisory fees involving one of the Federated Funds. The Board of the Federated Funds retained the law firm of Dickstein Shapiro LLP to represent the Federated Funds in these lawsuits. Federated and the Federated Funds, and their respective counsel, have been defending this litigation, and none of the Federated Funds remains a defendant in any of the lawsuits. Additional lawsuits based upon similar allegations may be filed in the future. The potential impact of these lawsuits, all of which seek monetary damages, attorneys' fees and

expenses, and future potential similar suits is uncertain. Although we do not believe that these lawsuits will have a material adverse effect on the Federated Funds, there can be no assurance that these suits, ongoing adverse publicity and/or other developments resulting from the allegations in these matters will not result in increased redemptions, or reduced sales, of shares of the Federated Funds or other adverse consequences for the Federated Funds.

## **9. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

On May 17, 2010, a supplement to the Fund's prospectus and statement of additional information was filed to indicate that the word "Federated" will be added to the beginning of the Fund name effective June 30, 2010.

Management has evaluated subsequent events through the date the financial statements were issued, and determined that no additional events have occurred that require disclosure.

# EVALUATION AND APPROVAL OF ADVISORY CONTRACT – MAY 2009

## **ALABAMA MUNICIPAL CASH TRUST (THE “FUND”)**

The Fund’s Board reviewed the Fund’s investment advisory contract at meetings held in May 2009. The Board’s decision regarding the contract reflects the exercise of its business judgment on whether to continue the existing arrangements.

In this connection, the Federated funds’ Board had previously appointed a Senior Officer, whose duties include specified responsibilities relating to the process by which advisory fees are to be charged to a Federated fund. The Senior Officer has the authority to retain consultants, experts, or staff as may be reasonably necessary to assist in the performance of his duties, reports directly to the Board, and may be terminated only with the approval of a majority of the independent members of the Board. The Senior Officer prepared and furnished to the Board an independent, written evaluation that covered topics discussed below. The Board considered that evaluation, along with other information, in deciding to approve the advisory contract.

During its review of the contract, the Board considered compensation and benefits received by the Adviser. This included the fees received for services provided to the Fund by other entities in the Federated organization and research services received by the Adviser from brokers that execute Federated fund trades, as well as advisory fees. The Board is also familiar with and considered judicial decisions concerning allegedly excessive investment advisory fees, which have indicated that the following factors may be relevant to an Adviser’s fiduciary duty with respect to its receipt of compensation from a fund: the nature and quality of the services provided by the Adviser, including the performance of the fund; the Adviser’s cost of providing the services; the extent to which the Adviser may realize “economies of scale” as a fund grows larger; any indirect benefits that may accrue to the Adviser and its affiliates as a result of the Adviser’s relationship with a fund; performance and expenses of comparable funds; and the extent to which the independent Board members are fully informed about all facts the Board deems relevant bearing on the Adviser’s services and fees. The Board further considered management fees (including any components thereof) charged to institutional and other clients of the Adviser for what might be viewed as like services, and the cost to the Adviser and its affiliates of supplying services pursuant to the management fee agreements, excluding any intra-corporate profit and profit margins of the Adviser and its affiliates for supplying such services. The Board was aware of these factors and was guided by them in its review of the Fund’s advisory contract to the extent it considered them to be appropriate and relevant, as discussed further below.

The Board considered and weighed these circumstances in light of its substantial accumulated experience in governing the Fund and working with Federated on matters relating to the Federated funds, and was assisted in its deliberations by independent legal counsel. Throughout the year, the Board has requested and received substantial and detailed information about the Fund and the Federated organization that was in addition to the extensive materials that comprise and accompany the Senior Officer's evaluation. Federated provided much of this information at each regular meeting of the Board, and furnished additional reports in connection with the particular meeting at which the Board's formal review of the advisory contract occurred. Between regularly scheduled meetings, the Board also received information on particular matters as the need arose. Thus, the Board's consideration of the advisory contract included review of the Senior Officer's evaluation, accompanying data and additional reports covering such matters as: the Adviser's investment philosophy, revenue, profitability, personnel and processes; investment and operating strategies; the Fund's short- and long-term performance (in absolute terms, both on a gross basis and net of expenses, as well as in relationship to its particular investment program and certain competitor or "peer group" funds and/or other benchmarks, as appropriate), and comments on the reasons for performance; the Fund's investment objectives; the Fund's expenses (including the advisory fee itself and the overall expense structure of the Fund, both in absolute terms and relative to similar and/or competing funds, with due regard for contractual or voluntary expense limitations); the use and allocation of brokerage commissions derived from trading the Fund's portfolio securities (if any); and the nature, quality and extent of the advisory and other services provided to the Fund by the Adviser and its affiliates. The Board also considered the preferences and expectations of Fund shareholders and their relative sophistication; the continuing state of competition in the mutual fund industry and market practices; the range of comparable fees for similar funds in the mutual fund industry; the Fund's relationship to the Federated family of funds which include a comprehensive array of funds with different investment objectives, policies and strategies which are available for exchange without the incurrence of additional sales charges; compliance and audit reports concerning the Federated funds and the Federated companies that service them (including communications from regulatory agencies), as well as Federated's responses to any issues raised therein; and relevant developments in the mutual fund industry and how the Federated funds and/or Federated are responding to them. The Board's evaluation process is evolutionary. The criteria considered and the emphasis placed on relevant criteria change in recognition of changing circumstances in the mutual fund marketplace.

With respect to the Fund's performance and expenses in particular, the Board has found the use of comparisons to other mutual funds with comparable investment programs to be particularly useful, given the high degree of competition in the mutual fund business. The Board focused on comparisons

with other similar mutual funds more heavily than non-mutual fund products or services because it is believed that they are more relevant. For example, other mutual funds are the products most like the Fund, they are readily available to Fund shareholders as alternative investment vehicles, and they are the type of investment vehicle in fact chosen and maintained by the Fund's investors. The range of their fees and expenses therefore appears to be a generally reliable indication of what consumers have found to be reasonable in the precise marketplace in which the Fund competes. The Fund's ability to deliver competitive performance when compared to its peer group was a useful indicator of how the Adviser is executing the Fund's investment program, which in turn assisted the Board in reaching a conclusion that the nature, extent, and quality of the Adviser's investment management services were such as to warrant continuation of the advisory contract. In this regard, the Senior Officer has reviewed Federated's fees for providing advisory services to products outside the Federated family of funds (e.g., institutional and separate accounts). He concluded that mutual funds and institutional accounts are inherently different products. Those differences include, but are not limited to, different types of targeted investors; being subject to different laws and regulations; different legal structures; different average account sizes; different associated costs; different portfolio management techniques made necessary by different cash flows and portfolio manager time spent in review of securities pricing. The Senior Officer did not consider these fee schedules to be determinative in judging the appropriateness of mutual fund advisory contracts.

The Senior Officer reviewed reports compiled by Federated, using data supplied by independent fund ranking organizations, regarding the performance of, and fees charged by, other mutual funds, noting his view that comparisons to fund peer groups are highly important in judging the reasonableness of proposed fees.

The Fund's performance was above the median of the relevant peer group for the one-year period covered by the report.

The Board also received financial information about Federated, including reports on the compensation and benefits Federated derived from its relationships with the Federated funds. These reports covered not only the fees under the advisory contracts, but also fees received by Federated's subsidiaries for providing other services to the Federated funds under separate contracts (e.g., for serving as the Federated funds' administrator). The reports also discussed any indirect benefit Federated may derive from its receipt of research services from brokers who execute Federated fund trades. In addition, the Board considered the fact that, in order for a fund to be competitive in the marketplace, Federated and its affiliates frequently waived fees and/or reimbursed expenses and have disclosed to fund investors and/or indicated to the Board their intention to do so in the future, where appropriate. Moreover, the Board receives regular reports regarding the institution or elimination of these voluntary waivers.

Federated furnished reports, requested by the Senior Officer, that reported revenues on a fund-by-fund basis and made estimates of the allocation of expenses on a fund-by-fund basis, using allocation methodologies specified by the Senior Officer. The Senior Officer noted that, although they may apply consistent allocation processes, the inherent difficulties in allocating costs (and the unavoidable arbitrary aspects of that exercise) and the lack of consensus on how to allocate those costs may render such allocation reports unreliable. The allocation reports were considered in the analysis by the Board but were determined to be of limited use.

The Board and the Senior Officer also reviewed a report compiled by Federated comparing profitability information for Federated to other publicly held fund management companies. In this regard, the Senior Officer noted the limited availability of such information, but nonetheless concluded that Federated's profit margins did not appear to be excessive and the Board agreed.

The Senior Officer's evaluation also discussed the notion of possible realization of "economies of scale" as a fund grows larger. The Board considered in this regard that the Adviser has made significant and long-term investments in areas that support all of the Federated funds, such as personnel and processes for the portfolio management, compliance, and risk management functions; and systems technology; and that the benefits of these efforts (as well as any economies, should they exist) were likely to be enjoyed by the fund complex as a whole. Finally, the Board also noted the absence of any applicable regulatory or industry guidelines on this subject, which (as discussed in the Senior Officer's evaluation) is compounded by the lack of any common industry practice or general pattern with respect to structuring fund advisory fees with "breakpoints" that serve to reduce the fee as the fund attains a certain size. The Senior Officer did not recommend institution of breakpoints in pricing Federated's fund advisory services at this time.

It was noted in the materials for the Board meeting that for the period covered by the report, the Fund's investment advisory fee, after waivers and expense reimbursements, if any, was below the median of the relevant peer group. The Board reviewed the fees and other expenses of the Fund with the Adviser and was satisfied that the overall expense structure of the Fund remained competitive.

The Senior Officer's evaluation noted his belief that the information and observations contained in his evaluation supported a finding that the proposed management fees are reasonable, and that Federated appeared to provide appropriate administrative services to the Fund for the fees paid. Under these circumstances, no changes were recommended to, and no objection was raised to, the continuation of the Fund's advisory contract. The Board concluded that the nature, quality and scope of services provided the Fund by the Adviser and its affiliates were satisfactory.

In its decision to continue an existing investment advisory contract, the Board was mindful of the potential disruptions of the Fund's operations and various risks, uncertainties and other effects that could occur as a result of a decision to terminate or not renew an advisory contract. In particular, the Board recognized that many shareholders have invested in the Fund on the strength of the Adviser's industry standing and reputation and with the expectation that the Adviser will have a continuing role in providing advisory services to the Fund. Thus, the Board's approval of the advisory contract reflected the fact that it is the shareholders who have effectively selected the Adviser by virtue of having invested in the Fund.

The Board based its decision to approve the advisory contract on the totality of the circumstances and relevant factors and with a view to past and future long-term considerations. Not all of the factors and considerations identified above were necessarily relevant to the Fund, nor did the Board consider any one of them to be determinative. With respect to the factors that were relevant, the Board's decision to approve the contract reflects its determination that Federated's performance and actions provided a satisfactory basis to support the decision to continue the existing arrangements.

## VOTING PROXIES ON FUND PORTFOLIO SECURITIES

A description of the policies and procedures that the Fund uses to determine how to vote proxies, if any, relating to securities held in the Fund's portfolio is available, without charge and upon request, by calling 1-800-341-7400. A report on "Form N-PX" of how the Fund voted any such proxies during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 is available from Federated's Web site at FederatedInvestors.com. To access this information from the "Products" section of the Web site, click on the "Prospectuses and Regulatory Reports" link under "Related Information," then select the appropriate link opposite the name of the Fund; or select the name of the Fund and from the Fund's page, click on the "Prospectuses and Regulatory Reports" link. Form N-PX filings are also available at the SEC's Web site at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

## QUARTERLY PORTFOLIO SCHEDULE

The Fund files with the SEC a complete schedule of its portfolio holdings, as of the close of the first and third quarters of its fiscal year, on "Form N-Q." These filings are available on the SEC's Web site at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov) and may be reviewed and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. (Call 1-800-SEC-0330 for information on the operation of the Public Reference Room.) You may also access this information from the "Products" section of Federated's Web site at FederatedInvestors.com by clicking on "Portfolio Holdings" under "Related Information," then selecting the appropriate link opposite the name of the Fund; or select the name of the Fund and from the Fund's page, click on the "Portfolio Holdings" link.

Mutual funds are not bank deposits or obligations, are not guaranteed by any bank and are not insured or guaranteed by the U.S. government, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Federal Reserve Board or any other government agency. Investment in mutual funds involves investment risk, including the possible loss of principal. Although money market funds seek to maintain a stable net asset value of \$1.00 per share, there is no assurance that they will be able to do so.

This Report is authorized for distribution to prospective investors only when preceded or accompanied by the Fund's Prospectus, which contains facts concerning its objective and policies, management fees, expenses and other information.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT FUND DOCUMENT DELIVERY**

In an effort to reduce costs and avoid duplicate mailings, the Fund(s) intend to deliver a single copy of certain documents to each household in which more than one shareholder of the Fund(s) resides (so-called "householding"), as permitted by applicable rules. The Fund's "householding" program covers its/their Prospectus and Statement of Additional Information, and supplements to each, as well as Semi-Annual and Annual Shareholder Reports and any Proxies or information statements. Shareholders must give their written consent to participate in the "householding" program. The Fund is also permitted to treat a shareholder as having given consent ("implied consent") if (i) shareholders with the same last name, or believed to be members of the same family, reside at the same street address or receive mail at the same post office box, (ii) the Fund gives notice of its intent to "household" at least sixty (60) days before it begins "householding" and (iii) none of the shareholders in the household have notified the Fund(s) or their agent of the desire to "opt out" of "householding." Shareholders who have granted written consent, or have been deemed to have granted implied consent, can revoke that consent and opt out of "householding" at any time: shareholders who purchased shares through an intermediary should contact their representative; other shareholders may call the Fund at 1-800-341-7400.

# NOTES

# NOTES

# NOTES

# NOTES

# NOTES

# NOTES

# NOTES

# Federated<sup>®</sup>

Alabama Municipal Cash Trust  
Federated Investors Funds  
4000 Ericsson Drive  
Warrendale, PA 15086-7561

Contact us at **FederatedInvestors.com**  
or call 1-800-341-7400.

Federated Securities Corp., Distributor

*Cusip 60934N260*

*G01120-01 (6/10)*

Federated is a registered mark of Federated Investors, Inc.  
2010 ©Federated Investors, Inc.